

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

H.B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 27313-1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,012		06/23/2004	Kazuhiro Onaka	2004-0861A 9068		
513	7590	08/01/2006		EXAMINER		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			PATIDAR, JAY M			
2033 K STR SUITE 800	LEET N. V	W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			2862			
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/500,012		ONAKA, KAZUHIRO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jay M. Patidar		2862				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the co	rrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Disions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COM 136(a). In no event, howeve will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	IMUNICATION.  In, may a reply be time  ( (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	ly filed ne mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	April 2006.						
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowa			ecution as to the	e merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under		•					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	١.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are withdra		on.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•				
′=	Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.			•				
·	Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 12</u> is/are objected to.							
′=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
_	• The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar ·						
	·		objected to b	v the Evaminer				
10)[	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 June 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-		FR 1 121(d)			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	•		• •			
,—	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	•	. priority undor 25 H	SC 5 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	.5.C. § 119(a)-	(a) or (1).				
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:      Codified copies of the priority decument	ta baya baan raasiy	~ d					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen			n Na				
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
		-		in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	,	• •					
3	ree the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copi	es not received					
Attachment		<b>4</b> , □ 1.4	hamilanı Cırrı	OTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		terview Summary (F aper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/04.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/500,012 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

This communication is in response to applicant's election received on May
 2006.

2. Applicant's election of Group 1, claims 1-13 in the reply filed on May 10, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 14-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 4, line 5, first detecting element and second detecting element are connected in series while at line 13, they are connected in parallel; same applies to fifth and sixth elements; clarification is required;

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,012 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).

AAPA discloses a sensor in figures 10A-10B including a substrate 4; a bridge circuit 3 with a first and second detecting circuits (first half bridge and second half bridge); each circuit includes two detecting elements (out of 2A-2D); a first magnetic bias application part (either 5A or 5B) and a second magnetic bias application part (5A,5B); the second bias application part produces different direction magnetic field from that of the first part. The first bias part applies a magnetic field bias to the first detecting circuit inherently and the second bias part applies a magnetic bias to the second detecting circuit inherently.

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/500,012

Art Unit: 2862

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3,8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Narimatsu et al. (4,361,805).

As to claims 2,8,10-11, AAPA discloses a magnetic field sensing apparatus as described above. AAPA fails to show permanent magnet as bias applying means. It is well known in the art to use a permanent magnet to generate bias magnetic field as taught by Narimatsu. Narimatsu teaches to use a permanent magnet (e.g. figs. 25-28) in place of a coil (fig. 29) to generate bias magnetic field. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of AAPA to use permanent magnets as taught by Narimatsu to generate bias magnetic field to bias the magnetic field sensing element.

As to claims 3,9, the use of an insulating material between the sensing element and the bias means would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art and would considered a common approach by the artisan to enhance the sensitivity of the device.

7. Claims 4-7 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,012 Page 5

Art Unit: 2862

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2862

Email: Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov

July 19, 2006